Mental Training Enhances Cognitive Function and BDNF More Than Either Physical or Combined Training in Elderly Women With MCI: A Small-Scale Study
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Abstract

Introduction: The effects of mental, physical, and combination of these two trainings were investigated on cognitive performance, serum level of brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), and irisin in women diagnosed with mild cognitive impairment (MCI).

Material and Methods: Forty-four participants were randomized into 4 groups: physical training (PH; 8 weeks’ aerobic training, n = 11), mental training (ME; special computer gaming, n = 11), combined (PH + ME; n = 13), and control group (CO; n = 9).

Results: Analysis of variance with Tukey post hoc test revealed a significant increase in working memory (P = .012) and BDNF (P = 0.024) in the ME compared with the CO group. Also the ME group in comparison with the PH group demonstrated better working memory (P = .014) and processing speed (P = .024).

Conclusion: Positive effect of mental training on the cognitive parameters, parallel with BDNF elevation, suggests that mental training is a more useful, safe, and persistent strategy to attenuate the progression of MCI probably via BDNF elevation, but the effect size is relatively small elevation.
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Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a major medical concern with significant social and economic costs.¹ To find appropriate interventions to prevent cognitive decline in predementia stages has great importance for health-care organizations.

Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) is a pre-AD condition state in which cognitive decline is greater than the level expected for an individual’s normal age and education level, with no notable interference in daily life.²,³ Based on the epidemiological studies, there is a rate of progression of almost 10% to 15% from MCI to AD.⁴

Current pharmacological interventions have limited effects of improving cognition, despite different side effects which have been reported in the literatures.⁵,⁶ Therefore, finding non-pharmacological preventive solutions for progression of MCI to AD has great importance. Physical activity has been known to exert beneficial effects on cognitive functions.⁷-⁹ However, some studies reported contradictory results.¹⁰,¹¹ Moreover, elderly people usually have less motivation for physical activities due to aging problems. Therefore, finding new strategies with combination of low-intensive physical activities with different mental interventions might be logical approach to boost brain activity.¹² Mental activity has the potential to increase motivation of individuals with MCI who are not physically active¹³ and has been known to improve working memory and verbal episodic memory.¹⁴,¹⁵

Although promising, there are a few published data on whether combination of physical and mental training is reliably associated with enhanced cognitive performance with contradictory results.¹⁶-¹⁹

On the other hand, cellular mechanisms underlying mental and physical training effects on cognitive performance have not been understood well. Some studies believe that neurotrophic factors, especially brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), elevate after neural and physical activities.¹⁴,²⁰ The BDNF is a protein expressed in the central nervous system and plays pivotal role in neural survival,¹⁹ synaptic plasticity, and neurogenesis²¹ in an activity-dependent manner.²² The BDNF has the potency to be elevated in elderly people after both mental¹⁴,²³ and physical activities.⁷,⁸,²⁴

The cellular and molecular mechanisms of BDNF elevation after mental and physical challenging have not been understood
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yet. One of the latest explanations is the induction of a special protein “irisin” in skeletal muscles during physical exercise, which consequently induces BDNF release from neurons.\textsuperscript{2,5} However, there are studies which seriously criticize the methodological assays measuring circulating irisin in human.\textsuperscript{26,27} For example, Albrecht et al in their recent study reported that evidence for irisin circulating in blood is largely based on commercial enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits which are based on polyclonal antibodies against C terminus of irisin and have not been tested for cross-reacting serum proteins.\textsuperscript{27} In addition, there are contradictory findings in literatures regarding exercise-induced irisin elevation. Boström et al showed an increase in plasma irisin only after 10 weeks of training in humans,\textsuperscript{28} but Timmons et al and Norheim et al expressed doubts about the response of fibronectin type III domain-containing protein 5 (FNDC5) messenger RNA in human muscle to exercise.\textsuperscript{29,30}

Here, we hypothesized that exercise-induced improvement in cognitive function and BDNF elevation in our previous studies\textsuperscript{24,31} and also others\textsuperscript{8,9} might not be mediated only by irisin signaling.

The goal of this study was to evaluate the effect of combination of physical and mental training on cognitive performance, serum BDNF, and irisin levels in patients with MCI.

**Methods**

**Participants**

At the start of the experiment, 101 elderly women from Yas adult center (nonresidential female adult daycare center, Aliabad-e Katul, Golestan Province, Iran) received information about procedures and signed consent voluntarily. The center that we had access to only, was taking care of old single women. Also pointing to the fact that gender difference influences on brain functions, the present experiment was limited at first step on female individuals and then on male ones at future studies.

Then the participants were matched according to education level and general medical examinations results. All participants were examined for a series of neurocognitive and psychological tasks considering the following inclusion criteria: (1) ages 60 to 85 years, (2) ability to read and write, (3) Global Deterioration Scale–Short Form (GDS-SF) level 0 to 5,\textsuperscript{32,33} (4) nor-

Study was conducted in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) and approved by the ethics and research committee of the Guilan University of Medical Sciences (code: IR.GUMS.REC.1394.447).

In order to diagnose MCI, Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), with extensive usages in both clinical practice and research, was used here.\textsuperscript{2,36,37} The MMSE included a 30-point validated questionnaire pointing to arithmetic, memory, and orientation of samples, which was done under supervision of a neurologist in 10 minutes. Any score greater than or equal to 24 points (out of 30) indicates a normal cognition. Between the scores of 18-23, indicate mild, and 0-18 sever cognitive impairment.

The GDS-SF, a 15-item self-report assessment, was also used to identify depression. All questions were answered “yes” or “no.” Any score greater than 5 suggested depression.\textsuperscript{32}

**Cognitive Assessments**

Cognitive assessments included 4 tests: (1) working memory (composite score of the computer digit span forward subtests of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Third Edition) [WAIS-III], (2) processing speed (composite score of the computer digit-symbol coding subtest of the WAIS-III), (3) reaction time, and (4) error number of the computer modified Stroop color-word test.\textsuperscript{38}

**Forward Digit Span test** measures the capacity to hold numbers in working memory and the ability to work with them. More specifically, the repetition of the digits demands concurrent mental operations such as attention, allocation of multiple mental resources operations, and active control of conscious attention.\textsuperscript{39} Participants were presented with a series of digits on computer monitor (eg, “8, 2, 4”) and their task was to repeat them immediately. Each digit was displayed 1 second with interval of 0.5 second.\textsuperscript{24}

Correct performers received a longer list of digits (eg, “9, 2, 4, 1”). The length of the list a person could remember was that person’s digit span.

**Digit Symbol Coding test** assesses key neurocognitive functions that underlie many substitution tasks, including attention, visual scanning, and motor speed. The brevity and ease of administration, and its unambiguous scoring, contribute to its widespread use.\textsuperscript{40} It consists of (eg, 9) digit symbol pairs (eg, 1/-, 2/\(L\), 8/\(X\), 9/\(L\)) followed by a list of digits. Under each digit the participant should write down the related symbol as fast as possible in 90 seconds cut off.\textsuperscript{41}

**Stroop test** assesses cognitive processes presenting color words (eg, RED) displayed in either congruent (eg, red) or incongruent (eg, green) colors and control trials in which only 1 dimension of the stimulus is present (ie, presenting all words in black colors or presenting color patches but without words).\textsuperscript{42} In the present study, we used modified versions of the simple Stroop test product (Sina behavioral and cognitive science) to measure error number and reaction time.

All cognitive measurements were carried out 2 days before and after the related interventions. Also, cognitive tests were
repeated 6 months after the last training for testing the main-
tenance of behavior.

Sample Collection
Serum BDNF and irisin were measured before and after the
ending of experiments (2 times). Blood samples were collected
by Vacutest Kima (Arzergrande, Italy), after 10-hour fasting
between 8 AM and 10 AM to minimize possible effects of circa-
dian rhythms. The diameter of needle was 22 G. Briefly, blood
was collected into EDTA tubes, then centrifuged for 20 minutes
at 3000 rpm by Behdad Class 1/Type B Refrigerated Centrifuge
(Tehran, Iran), and finally serums were placed in freezer (Nüve
DF490, Ankara, Turkey) at −80°C until further analysis. The
BDNF level was measured using a commercial ELISA kit
(R&D, Minneapolis, USA, cat#: DBD00, with a detection range
from 7.8 to 500 pg/mL and intra- and interassay variations of
+4.66% and +9%). Irisin was measured using a commercial
ELISA kit (ZellBio GmbH, Ulm, Germany, cat#: ZB-3253-
H9648, with a detection range from 0.05 to 15 μg/mL, intra-
and interassay variations of <10% and <12%).

Mental training. Participants from the ME group started exercis-
ing with a special program entitled “Modified My Better Mind”
under the supervision of physiotherapist for 30 minutes on the
first day and then was doubled at the seventh and eighth weeks.
The program consisted of 4 different games: (1) Photo flaw: The
task was to find small differences between a pair of identi-
cal pictures. This test engages visual attention, visual working
memory, and speed of processing. (2) Headline Clues focused

Figure 1. Subject flow diagram from the initial contact through the study completion.
Table 1. Descriptive characteristics of participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>PH (n = 11)</th>
<th>ME (n = 11)</th>
<th>PH + ME (n = 13)</th>
<th>CO (n = 9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>68.81 (3.68)</td>
<td>67.90 (3.75)</td>
<td>67.76 (4.69)</td>
<td>69.11 (4.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years)</td>
<td>3.45 (1.03)</td>
<td>3.54 (1.29)</td>
<td>2.76 (0.92)</td>
<td>3.22 (1.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.46 (3.18)</td>
<td>28.40 (6.35)</td>
<td>31.16 (4.92)</td>
<td>27.68 (4.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>90.00 (5.60)</td>
<td>80.54 (9.07)</td>
<td>87.92 (5.26)</td>
<td>79.00 (4.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR (%)</td>
<td>90.00 (5.60)</td>
<td>80.54 (9.07)</td>
<td>87.92 (5.26)</td>
<td>79.00 (4.60)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CO, control group; GDS-SF, Global Deterioration Scale–Short Form; MAP, mean arterial pressure; ME, mental training; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; PH, physical training; RHR, resting heart rate; WHR, waist hip ratio.

on anecdotal knowledge, engaging verbal memory, and reasoning, in a way that participants had to solve proverbs with missing words and letters. (3) Sokoban game that exercises strategic planning and utilizes both spatial executive processing and visual-spatial skills. The task was to move lenses through a maze onto targets in the least of moves. (4) Keep It In Mind, is a game for assessing working memory (both visuospatial and verbal). Participants looked and memorized lists of faces and objects and then they had to recognize the correct items as fast as possible.

Physical training. The physical training consisted of 2 sections: 5-minute warm-up followed by 6-minute walking with 55% heart rate reserve in the first session, incrementally reaching to 20 minutes with 75% heart rate reserve at the eighth week; and muscular strength, range of movement (MSROM) Silver Sneakers. The participants were being monitored throughout the session by a heart rate meter to guarantee the proper intervention zone. Heart rate reserve was calculated using the Karvonen method based on the resting and maximum heart rates achieved during the baseline maximal graded exercise test.

The MSROM Silver Sneakers section also involved a complex of body movements in a group fitness setting, following a similar 5-minute stretching protocol. Equipment used here consisted of chair, ball, 2 to 3 lb hand weights, and a resistance band with handles. Participants should mimic the motions of an instructor and complete muscular range of motion exercises coupled with light cardio that produces rating of perceived exertion within the desired 13 to 15 range for 25 minutes.

Combined training. Participants of this group participated in both physical and mental training for 8 weeks same as two other groups, performing the cognitive training prior to the exercise training. Duration of mental activity was 30 minutes/first week and reached to 60 minutes/seventh week. Total duration of physical activity was the same as mental activity 3 d/wk for 8 weeks. Participants of the third group with an interval of 45 minutes between physical and mental activities completed their tasks same as the first and second groups.

Statistical Analyses

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 23 software packages. The differences between variables were compared using paired t test and also ANOVA followed by Tukey post hoc test. Data were presented as means (standard deviation) with significance set at P < .05. Association of BDNF, irisin levels, and improvement of cognitive factors was calculated with Pearson correlation coefficient (P < .05).

Results

Changes in Cognitive Performances

Descriptive characteristics of participants has been shown in Table 1. Table 2 shows the statistics of the neurological variables before and after the interventions. One-way ANOVA indicated no significant difference in the pretest between groups in terms of working memory (F(3, 40) = 0.658, P = .583), processing speed (F(3, 40) = 0.816, P = .493), reaction time (F(3, 40) = 2.217, P = .089), and error number (F(3, 40) = 0.97, P = .446; Table 2).

Paired samples t test revealed a significant increase in working memory from pretest to posttraining in ME, t(10) = −3.74, P = .004, and PH + ME, t(12) = −2.69, P = .02 (Figure 2). One-way ANOVA revealed significant differences between groups in working memory (F(3, 40) = 5.55, P = .003). Changes in working memory was significantly higher in ME (21.64%) compared with PH (−0.20%, P = .014) and CO (−1.16%, P = .012; Table 2, Figure 2).

Similarly, significant improvements in the posttraining scores of processing speed, t(10) = −3.13, P = .011, and reaction time, t(10) = 4.45, P = .001, were observed only in the ME group compared with pretest values (Table 2, Figures 3 and 4). One-way ANOVA showed significant differences between groups in processing speed (F(3, 40) = 4.47, P = .008), while the difference between groups in reaction time was not statistically significant (F(3, 40) = 2.38, P = .084; Table 2). Tukey post hoc test revealed that changes in processing speed was significantly higher in ME (10.24%) compared with PH (−0.42%, P = .012) and CO (−0.65%, P = .024; Table 2).

Posttraining score of error number in ME was significantly decreased compared with baseline, t(10) = 4.03, P = .002
Also, significant difference between groups changes was found in the score of error number by one-way ANOVA ($F_{3, 40} = 4.16, P = .012$), indicating higher improvement in ME (19.36%) compared with CO (6.86%, $P = .019$).

Improvements in working memory, $t(10) = 1.78, P = .104$ (Figure 2), processing speed, $t(10) = 2.05, P = .067$ (Figure 3), reaction time, $t(10) = -0.59, P = .576$ (Figure 4), and error number, $t(10) = -0.896, P = .391$ (Figure 5) were preserved after 6 months of detraining in ME. Working memory, which was the only improved index in PH + ME, decreased (Table 2, Figure 5). Also, significant difference between groups changes was found in the score of error number by one-way ANOVA ($F_{3, 40} = 4.16, P = .012$), indicating higher improvement in ME (19.36%) compared with CO (−6.86%, $P = .019$).

Improvements in working memory, $t(10) = 1.78, P = .104$ (Figure 2), processing speed, $t(10) = 2.05, P = .067$ (Figure 3), reaction time, $t(10) = -0.59, P = .576$ (Figure 4), and error number, $t(10) = -0.896, P = .391$ (Figure 5) were preserved after 6 months of detraining in ME. Working memory, which was the only improved index in PH + ME, decreased (Table 2, Figure 5). Also, significant difference between groups changes was found in the score of error number by one-way ANOVA ($F_{3, 40} = 4.16, P = .012$), indicating higher improvement in ME (19.36%) compared with CO (−6.86%, $P = .019$).

Improvements in working memory, $t(10) = 1.78, P = .104$ (Figure 2), processing speed, $t(10) = 2.05, P = .067$ (Figure 3), reaction time, $t(10) = -0.59, P = .576$ (Figure 4), and error number, $t(10) = -0.896, P = .391$ (Figure 5) were preserved after 6 months of detraining in ME. Working memory, which was the only improved index in PH + ME, decreased (Table 2, Figure 5). Also, significant difference between groups changes was found in the score of error number by one-way ANOVA ($F_{3, 40} = 4.16, P = .012$), indicating higher improvement in ME (19.36%) compared with CO (−6.86%, $P = .019$).

Improvements in working memory, $t(10) = 1.78, P = .104$ (Figure 2), processing speed, $t(10) = 2.05, P = .067$ (Figure 3), reaction time, $t(10) = -0.59, P = .576$ (Figure 4), and error number, $t(10) = -0.896, P = .391$ (Figure 5) were preserved after 6 months of detraining in ME. Working memory, which was the only improved index in PH + ME, decreased (Table 2, Figure 5). Also, significant difference between groups changes was found in the score of error number by one-way ANOVA ($F_{3, 40} = 4.16, P = .012$), indicating higher improvement in ME (19.36%) compared with CO (−6.86%, $P = .019$).

Improvements in working memory, $t(10) = 1.78, P = .104$ (Figure 2), processing speed, $t(10) = 2.05, P = .067$ (Figure 3), reaction time, $t(10) = -0.59, P = .576$ (Figure 4), and error number, $t(10) = -0.896, P = .391$ (Figure 5) were preserved after 6 months of detraining in ME. Working memory, which was the only improved index in PH + ME, decreased (Table 2, Figure 5).
significantly after 6 months of detraining compared with post-training, \( t(12) = 1.83, P = .046 \) (Figure 2).

After 6 months, one-way ANOVA revealed no significant difference between groups changes in working memory \((F_{3, 40} = 2.50, P = .073; \text{Figure 2})\), processing speed \((F_{3, 40} = 1.18, P = .329; \text{Figure 3})\), and error number \((F_{3, 40} = 1.24, P = .307; \text{Figure 5})\), while there was a significant difference between groups changes in reaction time \((F_{3, 40} = 8.56, P = .001; \text{Figure 4})\). Tukey post hoc test revealed that changes in reaction time were significantly lower in ME compared with PH \((P = .006)\) and CO \((P = .001; \text{Figure 4})\).

**Changes in BDNF and Irisin**

The BDNF increased significantly in ME, \( t(10) = -2.99, P = .013 \), and PH + ME, \( t(12) = -3.17, P = .008, 1 - \beta = .829 \); however, irisin elevated significantly only in PH + ME, \( t(12) = -2.29, P = .040 \), compared with baseline. The PH showed insignificant reduction in BDNF, \( t(10) = 0.266, P = .795 \) (Table 2, Figures 6 and 7).

Significant differences were found between groups changes in BDNF \((F_{3, 40} = 3.667, P = .020; \text{Table 2, Figure 7})\) and irisin \((F_{3, 40} = 4.288, P = .01; \text{Table 2, Figure 6})\) by one-way ANOVA. Tukey post hoc test revealed significantly higher BDNF changes in ME \((26.36\%, P = .024)\) and irisin changes in PH + ME \((14.31\%, P = .021)\) compared with CO \((-12.58\%; \text{Table 2, Figures 6 and 7})\).

The BDNF level was positively correlated only with processing speed in ME \((r = .697, P = .037; \text{Table 3})\). No significant correlation was found between BDNF and irisin in ME.
Table 3. Association between changes of selected parameters measured.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PH</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>ME</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>PH + ME</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change of working memory score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of irisin</td>
<td>-.201</td>
<td>.605</td>
<td>-.239</td>
<td>.536</td>
<td>-.121</td>
<td>.723</td>
<td>-.453</td>
<td>.307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of BDNF</td>
<td>-.102</td>
<td>.795</td>
<td>.426</td>
<td>.253</td>
<td>-.087</td>
<td>.800</td>
<td>-.476</td>
<td>.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of processing speed score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of irisin</td>
<td>-.059</td>
<td>.879</td>
<td>-.085</td>
<td>.827</td>
<td>.272</td>
<td>.419</td>
<td>-.497</td>
<td>.256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of BDNF</td>
<td>-.077</td>
<td>.844</td>
<td>.697*</td>
<td>.037*</td>
<td>-.400</td>
<td>.223</td>
<td>-.148</td>
<td>.751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of reaction time score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of irisin</td>
<td>-.098</td>
<td>.803</td>
<td>-.197</td>
<td>.612</td>
<td>-.256</td>
<td>.448</td>
<td>-.036</td>
<td>.939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of BDNF</td>
<td>-.036</td>
<td>.927</td>
<td>.355</td>
<td>.284</td>
<td>-.344</td>
<td>.300</td>
<td>-.746</td>
<td>.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of error number score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of irisin</td>
<td>-.405</td>
<td>.280</td>
<td>.042</td>
<td>.914</td>
<td>-.071</td>
<td>.835</td>
<td>-.007</td>
<td>.886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of BDNF</td>
<td>-.323</td>
<td>.396</td>
<td>.425</td>
<td>.254</td>
<td>-.084</td>
<td>.807</td>
<td>.653</td>
<td>.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of irisin</td>
<td>-.570</td>
<td>.067</td>
<td>.355</td>
<td>.284</td>
<td>.078</td>
<td>.800</td>
<td>.110</td>
<td>.778</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BDNF, brain derived neurotrophic factor; CO, control group; ME, mental training; PH, physical training.

*Significant association between parameters.

\[(P = .284), \text{PH} (P = .067), \text{PH + ME} (P = .80), \text{or CO} (P = .788; \text{Table 3}).\]

Discussion

The present study showed an improvement in cognitive functions and serum BDNF level following 24 sessions of computerized mental training in elderly women with MCI. Since, temporal, parietal, and frontal cortex, are responsible for working memory, and sensorimotor cortex, for reaction time, and signal processing. Therefore, these findings similar to the previous ones indicate beneficial effects of mental challenging in attenuating the progression of MCI to AD.

In addition, mental gaming group displayed a significantly greater increase in BDNF rather than physical exercise, suggesting the involvement of BDNF, at least in part for better cognitive performance. The BDNF as a neurotrophin family member was found to secrete from the brain and induces a cascade of molecular signaling through tyrosine kinase receptor and leads to long-term potentiation and long-term memory. There are evidences in aged rodents and primates, showing that BDNF administration reverses neuronal atrophy, increases the synapses number, and also leads to neurogenesis.

Interestingly, the group that experienced physical activity showed no significant change in cognitive function, BDNF, and irisin, in contradictory with our previous report in similar studies in elderly participants with metabolic syndrome. One possible explanation might be the intensity of physical activity, which was much lower than the intensities used in the studies with positive results. Other explanation could be the fact that irisin is increased after acute high-intensity exercise, but not chronic one, which was the protocol used in our experiment. In line with our findings, two other studies reported no significant effects of endurance and resistance training on circulating irisin.

In addition, we cannot rule out serious concerns raised from two recent studies regarding methodological assays. Albrecht et al reported that immunoassay techniques used in most literatures might be reporting cross-reacting serum proteins, and not irisin itself. Also Raschke et al believe that the beneficial effect of irisin might not be translated from mice to humans since the human FNDC5 gene might be a transcribed pseudogene that unlikely is translated into the full-length FNDC5 protein and irisin. Considering our results and other previous studies, the debate over irisin potential role in connecting skeletal muscles and brain to boost cognitive performance seems to be a myth.

On the other hand, combined physical and mental training showed significant changes in working memory and BDNF. This finding reflects either the potency of mental training or synergistic effect of physical and mental training on boosting cognitive performance. In other words, mind–body exercise with integrated cognitive and motor coordination may be useful for cognitive decline via stimulating cellular mechanisms underlying neurotrophins, more notably BDNF secretion compared with physical activity per se. It has been known that mental challenging leads to the activation of neurons and release of glutamate from presynaptic terminals and then increase in intracellular Na+ and Ca2+. Then Ca2+ influx through the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors in dendrite activates the production of BDNF. Also cAMP responsive element binding protein and X-box binding protein 1 are two other pathways increasing BDNF from brain during mental challenging.

Interestingly, mental gaming induced improvement in cognitive performance preserved after 6 months, indicating capability and plasticity of brain in elderly individuals for learning and memory. Considering the fact that BDNF was increased in
the group challenged mentally, the persistence of cognitive performance for at least 6 months could be justified at least in part via elevation in BDNF and further synaptic plasticity. Despite possible risk of falling for elderly passive people engaging physical activity, a slight insignificant impairment in cognitive performance after physical exercise detraining will question the efficacy of this activity compared with mental one. Finally, our findings suggest that engaging in mental activity is a useful way to protect neural processing in elderly women with low level of education.

One of the limitations of our study was the small sample size, due to different limitations such as inclusion and exclusion criteria and also number of participants who dropped out during the experiment. For future studies, a greater sample size, considering variety of BDNF genotypes such as Val66Met polymorphism also other technical assessment rather than ELISA, is suggested.

Conclusion
In conclusion, our findings indicate that mental training has beneficial effects across old ages as a useful, safe strategy to prevent cognitive decline via BDNF elevation.
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