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Abstract

Background: The functional independence measure (FIM) is a practical tool for the evaluation of motor and cognitive independence, which has been validated in different languages; its Persian version has not been studied so far.

Objectives: In this cross-sectional study, the validity, reliability, and replicability of FIM for Iranian patients with traumatic brain injuries (TBIs) were determined.

Patients and Methods: Forty patients with acute TBI that were hospitalized in emergency ward for evaluation of inter-rater reliability for the test replicability and ¹³ sub-acute TBI patients that were in the neurosurgery ward of Poursina educational hospital in Rasht were selected in the assessment of other psychometric indices by the consecutive sampling method. The tests used include the FIM for measuring motor and cognitive functioning, the Barthel Index for measuring physical disability, the mini mental state examination (MMSE), and questions on the physical dimension of quality of life in the short form health survey (SF-³⁶) were used. Statistical analyses were performed using Intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC), Cronbach’s Alpha and Pearson correlation coefficients, independent t-tests, and hierarchical regression analyses.

Results: The inter-rater reliability was acceptable on admission and at discharge (ICC = ··V⁴ to ··V³). The internal consistency of FIM and its subscales were excellent (··V³). The results for criterion validity by adjusting the values of GCS on admission and at discharge showed that the FIM motor dimension could predict a significant proportion of the variance of Barthel index scores; and the physical health components of quality of life and overall physical component of SF-³⁶ (PCS) and FIM cognitive dimension could predict a significant proportion of the variance of MMSE scores (all P < ··V¹). In known-groups validity, patients with physical trauma and cognitive impairment obtained lower motor (t = ··V⁵, P = ··V⁴) and cognitive (t = ··V⁴, P < ··V¹) FIM scores compared to the groups with no physical
trauma or cognitive impairment.

**Conclusions:** This Persian version of the FIM can be used as a valid, reliable, and replicable instrument for research and rehabilitation purposes in TBI patients.
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